

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,226	10/31/2003		Hyungjun Kim	YOR920030208US1	3395
75	90	10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
David Aker 23 Southern Road			CHEN, BRET P		
Hartedale, NY 1053				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		7
Advisory Action	10/699,226	KIM ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	B. Chen	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	lress	
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or	· (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!				r. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN	İ
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension ice action; or (	fee 2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying		)r
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ootou oluliilo.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	•
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	/ <del></del>	•		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling	, the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-9,11-14,16,17,19 and 26-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation o	òf
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	s necessary	l and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide	a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because	):

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Art Unit: 1762

The arguments presented in the Amendment After Final dated 9/5/06 has been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant argues that chemical vapor deposition is a completely different technology than plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition (p.7 third full paragraph).

The examiner disagrees. It should be first noted that applicant has not providing any factual evidence supporting such a statement. Hence, this appears to be mere speculation. Secondly, the examiner questions difference in what? The examiner concedes that these are not the same deposition process but the skilled artisan in the atomic layer deposition art would be familiar with the vapor deposition field. Thirdly, as mentioned in the previous office action, Arkles is directed to a plasma-enhanced CVD process in which a multilayers can be formed (col.9 lines 44-53) with the use of an inert carrier gas is taught in col.11 line 58 – col.12 line 33). Clearly, one skilled in the art would realize that the deposition of multilayers requires repeated depositions. If the applicant can establish some criticality with the repetition of 40-800 times, the examiner will consider withdrawing the present art rejection. It should be further noted that since no thickness is presently required in the instant claims, one skilled in the art can consider one thick layer being the same as several thin layers of the same material.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bc 9/22/06

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3